

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,208		12/02/2001	Wen-Tsao Lee		3351
28639	7590	09/27/2002		·	
WEN-TSA	O LEE		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NO. 10-17, DALIN TSU			SUGARMAN, SCOTT J		
HSINCHU, TAIWAN	HSINCHU, 314				PAPER NUMBER
IAIWAN				2873	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı		Application No.	pplicant(s)	10C				
	_	09/683,208	LEE					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Scott J. Sugarman	2873					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply		heet with the correspondence ad	dress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIATION of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimatutory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-fina	ıl.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-15}$ is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from considerati	on.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1.4 and 15 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2.3 and 5-14 is/are objecte	d to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	ction and/or election requirem	ent.					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obj	jection to the drawing(s) be held	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receiv	ed.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
) \square The translation of the foreign lar Acknowledgment is made of a claim $\mathfrak k$							
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No lotice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther:					
S Patent and T	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/683,208

⁴ Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Braid. Braid teaches an optical comparator having a splitter mirror (14) that makes partial penetration and reflection of an image, a mirror (15) that makes a total reflection of an image, a first light source (11) that illuminates a first pattern for a first image and a second light source (13) that illuminates a second pattern for a second image. Since the light source 11 is from a cathode ray tube it scans fields in an alternate manner, inherently resulting in an alternating signal between sources 11 and 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braid.

Braid is cited for reasons of record against claim 1, but only teaches a first lens (16)

Application/Control Number: 09/683,208

, Art Unit: 2873

between the first pattern and the splitter mirror. It would have been obvious to utilize a second lens that is placed between the second pattern and the splitter mirror, since this is a function of the focal lengths of the display light sources.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3 and 5-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the dependent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in the dependent claims which include the recited spacing configurations and additional lenses of the comparator structure.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/683,208

Art Unit: 2873

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (703)308-4821.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Scott J. Sugarmar Primary Examine

Art Unit 2873

sjs September 23, 2002